IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re : Chapter 9 : CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, : Case No. 13-53846 : Debtor. : Hon. Thomas J. Tucker

STIPULATION BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN AND QUILL.COM RESOLVING CLAIM NUMBER 3

The City of Detroit, Michigan ("<u>City</u>") and Quill.com ("<u>Quill</u>"; and collectively with the City, the "Parties") stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, on July 26, 2013, Quill, by letter to David Heiman, asserted a reclamation claim in the amount of \$47,785.50 ("Reclamation Claim");

WHEREAS, on August 1, 2013, Quill filed its proof of claim number 3 in the amount of \$68,283.70 ("Claim 3"; and with the Reclamation Claim, the "Quill Claims"), which amount includes the amount of the Reclamation Claim;

WHEREAS, on October 22, 2014, the City filed its *Eighth Amended Plan of the Adjustment of Debts of the City of Detroit (October 22, 2014)* (the "Plan," Doc. No. 8045);

WHEREAS, Quill acknowledges having received payments from the City reducing the Quill Claims to a total of \$8,222.93;

WHEREAS, Quill and the City have conferred and reached agreement regarding the Claims;

WHEREAS, capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this stipulation have the meanings given to them in the Plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. Claim 3 is allowed as a convenience class claim in the amount of \$8,222.93; provided, however, that nothing in this stipulation is to be construed as a waiver of any of the City's rights to assert and pursue any claims against Quill, including, without limitation, claims under chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code, and to challenge the assertion of Claim 3 as a defense to such claims.
- 2. Other than Claim 3, Quill has no further Claims of any kind against the City as of the Effective Date. The City's claim's agent is authorized to update the claims register accordingly.
- 3. Quill has not transferred or assigned any Claims of any kind it has against the City.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation is intended to or will revive, reinstate, or affirm any rights, remedies, claims, or defenses of either Party against the other that expired or were otherwise barred, satisfied, released, waived, or discharged as of, or upon the occurrence of, the Effective Date of the Plan. This stipulation is not a modification of the Plan.

SO STIPULATED, APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:

May 5, 2015

For the City of Detroit, Michigan For Quill.com By Miller, Canfield, Paddock & Stone, PLC By Daneen Kastanek By: /s/ Ronald A. Spinner By: <u>/s/ Daneen Kastanek</u> Ronald A. Spinner (P73159) Daneen Kastanek 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500 300 Arbor Lake Drive Detroit, MI 48226 Columbia, SC 29223 (313) 496-7829 (800) 333-8752 spinner@millercanfield.com Daneen.Kastanek@Staples.com

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re

: Chapter 9
: CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, : Case No. 13-53846
: Debtor. : Hon. Thomas J. Tucker

[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN AND QUILL.COM RESOLVING CLAIM NUMBER 3

This matter having come before the Court on the *Stipulation by and Between the City of Detroit, Michigan and Quill.com Resolving Claim Number 3*, the Court having reviewed the stipulation and being otherwise apprised of the matter, and there being good cause, NOW THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED THAT

- 1. The stipulation is approved to the extent set forth in this Order.
- 2. Claim number 3 is allowed as a convenience class claim in the amount of \$8,222.93; *provided, however*, that allowance of this claim is not a waiver of the City's rights to assert and pursue any claims against Quill, including, without limitation, claims under chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code, and to challenge the assertion of Claim 3 as a defense to such claims.
- 3. Other than claim number 3, Quill has no further claims of any kind against the City of Detroit ("City") as of December 10, 2014. The City's claim's agent is authorized to update the claims register accordingly.
- 4. No party may assert a claim against the City on the basis that it has been assigned a claim originally asserted by Quill.

5. Neither the stipulation nor this Order revives, reinstates, or affirms any rights, remedies, claims, or defenses of the City or Quill against each other that expired or were otherwise barred, satisfied, released, waived, or discharged as of, or upon the occurrence of, the Effective Date of the Plan. Neither the stipulation nor this Order modifies the Plan.